

b.) Remarks

Claims 1-15 are amended for better idiomatic usage and better conformity with accepted U.S. practice. New claims 16-20 parallel originals claims 11-15, respectively. Additionally, claim 21 is presented in order to recite subject matter that is generic to the two species noted by the Examiner.

In the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner states the claims are directed to plural species of the generic invention. According to the Examiner, these species are to the embodiment shown in Figure 10, and the embodiment shown in Figure 17. Since this is a selection of species requirement, a generic claim encompassing the species will be examined, if the selected species is found to be allowable.

In response, Applicants hereby elect the retro-reflective element according to the first embodiment (shown in Fig. 10). Claims 1-6 and 21 relate to Examples 1-5, Figure 10.

Entry hereof is earnestly solicited.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Lawrence S. Perry/

Lawrence S. Perry
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 31,865

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

LSP\ac

FCHS_WS 1425475_1.DOC